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#### Abstract

This paper presents an algorithm that, given an integer $n>1$, finds the largest integer $k$ such that $n$ is a $k$ th power. A previous algorithm by the first author took time $b^{1+o(1)}$ where $b=\lg n$; more precisely, time $b \exp (O(\sqrt{\lg b \lg \lg b}))$; conjecturally, time $b(\lg b)^{O(1)}$. The new algorithm takes time $b(\lg b)^{O(1)}$. It relies on relatively complicated subroutines-specifically, on the first author's fast algorithm to factor integers into coprimes-but it allows a proof of the $b(\lg b)^{O(1)}$ bound without much background; the previous proof of $b^{1+o(1)}$ relied on transcendental number theory.


Here is an algorithm that, given an integer $n>1$, finds the largest integer $k$ such that $n$ is a $k$ th power:

1. For each prime power $q$ such that $2^{q} \leq n$, write down a positive integer $r_{q}$ such that if $n$ is a $q$ th power then $n=r_{q}^{q}$.
2. Find a finite coprime set $P$ of integers larger than 1 such that each of $n, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{5}, r_{7}, \ldots$ is a product of powers of elements of $P$. (In this paper, "coprime" means "pairwise coprime.")
3. Factor $n$ as $\prod_{p \in P} p^{n_{p}}$, and compute $k=\operatorname{gcd}\left\{n_{p}: p \in P\right\}$.

It is easy to see that the algorithm is correct. Say $n$ is an $\ell$ th power. Take any prime power $q$ dividing $\ell$. Then $n$ is a $q$ th power, so $n=r_{q}^{q}$; but $r_{q}$ is a product $\prod_{p \in P} p^{a_{p}}$ for some exponents $a_{p}$, so $n$ is a product $\prod_{p \in P} p^{q a_{p}}$. Factorizations over $P$ are unique, so $n_{p}=q a_{p}$ for each $p$. Thus $q$ divides $\operatorname{gcd}\left\{n_{p}: p \in P\right\}=k$. This is true for all $q$, so $\ell$ divides $k$. Conversely, $n$ is certainly a $k$ th power.

Take, for example, $n=49787136<2^{26}$. Compute approximations

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
r_{2}=7056 \approx n^{1 / 2} & r_{8}=9 \approx n^{1 / 8} & r_{17}=3 \approx n^{1 / 17} \\
r_{3}=368 \approx n^{1 / 3} & r_{9}=7 \approx n^{1 / 9} & r_{19}=3 \approx n^{1 / 19} \\
r_{4}=84 \approx n^{1 / 4} & r_{11}=5 \approx n^{1 / 11} & r_{23}=2 \approx n^{1 / 23} \\
r_{5}=35 \approx n^{1 / 5} & r_{13}=4 \approx n^{1 / 13} & r_{25}=2 \approx n^{1 / 25} \\
r_{7}=13 \approx n^{1 / 7} & r_{16}=3 \approx n^{1 / 16} &
\end{array}
$$

where $\approx$ means "within 0.6." Factor $\{49787136,7056,368,84,35,13,9,7,5,4,3,2\}$ into coprimes: each of these numbers is a product of powers of elements of $P=$ $\{2,3,5,7,13,23\}$. In particular, $n=2^{8} 3^{4} 5^{0} 7^{4} 13^{0} 23^{0}$, so $k=\operatorname{gcd}\{8,4,0,4,0,0\}=4$. In other words, $n$ is a 4 th power, and is not an $\ell$ th power for $\ell>4$.

[^0]As discussed below, the literature already shows how to perform each step of this algorithm in time $b(\lg b)^{O(1)}$, where $b=\lg n$. Computing $n^{1 / k}$, which is used by some applications, also takes time $b(\lg b)^{O(1)}$.

Details of Step 1. Given $n$ and $q$, one can use binary search and Newton's method to compute a floating-point number guaranteed to be within (e.g.) $2^{-32}$ of $n^{1 / q}$, as explained in [4, Sections 8 and 10]. The algorithms of [4] rely on FFT-based integer multiplication; see [6, Sections 2-4].

Define $r_{q}$ as an integer within $2^{-32}$ of this floating-point number. If no such integer exists, define $r_{q}=1$.

Each $r_{q}$ has $O(b / q)$ bits. Together the $r_{q}$ 's have $\sum_{q \leq \lg n} O(b / q)=O(b \lg \lg b)$ bits by Mertens's theorem. The algorithms of [4] take time $(\lg b)^{O(1)}$ per bit.

An alternative approach to Step 1 is to define $r_{q}$ as an integer 2-adically close to $n^{1 / q}$, as explained in [4, Section 21].

Details of Step 2. Given a finite set of positive integers, the algorithm of [5, Section 18] computes the "natural coprime base" for that set. The algorithm takes time $s(\lg s)^{O(1)}$ where $s$ is the number of input bits. The algorithm relies on FFTbased multiplication, division, and gcd; see [6, Sections 17 and 22].

Use this algorithm to compute the "natural coprime base" $P$ for $\left\{n, r_{2}, \ldots\right\}$. Together $n, r_{2}, \ldots$ have $O(b \lg \lg b)$ bits, so this takes time $b(\lg b)^{O(1)}$.

Details of Step 3. Given a finite coprime set $P$ of integers larger than 1, and given a positive integer that has a factorization over $P$, the algorithm of $[5$, Section 20] finds that factorization. The algorithm takes time $s(\lg s)^{O(1)}$ where $s$ is the number of input bits. The algorithm relies on FFT-based arithmetic.

Use this algorithm to factor $n$ over $P$. Together $n$ and $P$ have $O(b \lg \lg b)$ bits, so this takes time $b(\lg b)^{O(1)}$.

Competition. Previous work by the first author in [4] had already shown that $k$ could be computed in time $b^{1+o(1)}$. The algorithm of [4] computes $r_{q}$ for prime numbers $q$, and then computes several increasingly precise approximations to $r_{q}^{q}$, stopping when an approximation demonstrates that $r_{q}^{q} \neq n$.

The run-time bound for the algorithm in this paper has two advantages over the run-time bound for the algorithm in [4]:

- The new bound is smaller. The old bound was $b \exp (O(\sqrt{\lg b \lg \lg b}))$; the new bound is $b(\lg b)^{O(1)}$.
- The new proof requires considerably less background. The new proof relies on the first author's results in [5] on factoring into coprimes, but the old proof relied on deep results in transcendental number theory.
The old algorithm is conjectured to take time $b(\lg b)^{O(1)}$, as discussed in [4, Section 15], but this conjecture seems very difficult to prove.

For typical $n$ 's, both algorithms see from the initial approximation to $n^{1 / q}$ that $n$ is not a $q$ th power; the bottleneck is the computation of that approximation. The algorithms behave differently only in the atypical case that $n$ is very close to a power. Optimization of the worst case in more detail than $b(\lg b)^{O(1)}$ is beyond the scope of this paper.

History. Bach, Driscoll, and Shallit in [2] introduced a quadratic-time algorithm to factor integers into coprimes. The obvious algorithm takes cubic time.

Bach and Sorenson in [3] published various algorithms to detect perfect powers, i.e., to check whether $k>1$. One algorithm takes time $O\left(b^{3}\right)$. Another algorithm is conjectured to take time $O\left(b^{2} /(\lg b)^{2}\right)$ for most, but not all, $n$ 's.

The second and third authors of this paper observed in early 1994 that they could compute $k$ in time $O\left(b^{2}(\lg \lg b)^{2}\right)$ by factoring $n, r_{2}, \ldots$ into coprimes with the Bach-Driscoll-Shallit algorithm; recall that $n, r_{2}, \ldots$ together have $O(b \lg \lg b)$ bits. This line of work was abandoned several months later when the first author announced that $k$ could be computed in time $b^{1+o(1)}$ by the increasingly-precise-approximations-to- $r_{q}^{q}$ method.

The first author later pointed out that this line of work deserved to be revived, since he had found an essentially-linear-time algorithm - see [5]-to factor integers into coprimes.
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